

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
26 September 2002 (26.09.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 02/075950 A1

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: H04B 1/707  
(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB02/00814  
(22) International Filing Date: 19 March 2002 (19.03.2002)  
(25) Filing Language: English  
(26) Publication Language: English  
(30) Priority Data:  
0107113.3 21 March 2001 (21.03.2001) GB  
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NOKIA CORPORATION [FI/FT]; Keilalahdentie 4, FIN-02150 Espoo (FI).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


(72) Inventors; and  
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ASTÉLY, David [SE/SE]; S:t Eriksgatan 19, 3 tr, S-11239 Stockholm (SE). ARTAMO, Atte [FI/FT]; Keijumäki 6 D, FIN-02130 Espoo (FI).  
(74) Agents: RUUSKANEN, Juha-Pekka et al.; Page White & Farrer, 54 Doughty Street, London WC1N 2LS (GB).

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: INTERFERENCE REJECTION IN A RECEIVER



(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to rejection of interference in a receiver. In the method a plurality of signals is received by antenna means (3, 4) of the receiver (10). Spatial correlation of the received signals is determined, whereafter the signals are filtered by a whitening filter (6) that is determined based on said determined spatial correlation. Spatially white signals from the filter are input into a diversity receiver part (7) of the receiver. The receiver may be implemented in a station of a cellular communication system.

WO 02/075950 A1

## Interference rejection in a receiver

### Field of the Invention

5 The present invention relates to interference rejection in a receiver, and in particular, but not exclusively, to interference rejection in a diversity receiver arrangement for communication system adapted for simultaneous reception of a plurality of signals.

10

### Background of the Invention

Communication systems enabling wireless communication are known. The so called cellular telecommunication networks are  
15 an example of communication systems enabling wireless communication between stations. In a cellular network the area covered by the network is divided into a plurality of cells. Each cell is served by a base station which transmits signals in the downlink (DL) direction to and receives signals in the  
20 uplink (UL) direction from mobile stations in the associated cell. These mobile stations can be mobile telephones or any other type of mobile user equipment terminals such as a portable computer with telecommunication capabilities.

25 Several different cellular systems are known. These are typically standardised such that the various elements of the particular system may operate within the system. The standards define, among other things, features on that are to be used by the system such as the frequency range, access technique,  
30 multiplexing technique and so.

An example of the access techniques used by the cellular systems is the code division multiple access (CDMA). The CDMA

a direct sequence spread spectrum technique. The use of (CDMA) or a wideband CDMA (WCDMA) is being proposed for the next generation of cellular telecommunication networks (the so called third generation (3G) standards). Code division  
5 multiple access is also employed e.g. in the IS-95 and IMT 2000 standards.

With the CDMA technique the base stations and mobile stations may transmit signals over all of the available frequency  
10 range. The mobile stations in one cell associated with a first base station may also use the same frequency as mobile stations in an adjacent cell associated with a second base station. A mobile station or a base station will therefore receive a relatively large number of signals in the used  
15 frequency range. The different mobile stations can be distinguished by the respective base stations as each mobile station will be using a different spreading code. In order to isolate a particular signal, the signals are despread. That is, in order to distinguish the signals, different and  
20 typically orthogonal spreading codes are applied thereto and in reception the desired signal is isolated from other signals based on information of the spreading code. The undesired signals will in a typical case provide interference.

25 The capacity of a CDMA system depends on the level of the interference to a desired signal. If the signal to interference ratio (SIR) of the connection does not meet a certain threshold value the quality of the service may become reduced and/or a connection relying on the desired signal may  
30 not be established at all or may be dropped.

A wireless communication system is thus inherently interference limited. Interference may severely affect the

performance of the system, both in the terms of capacity and coverage. Forms of interference include, without limiting to these, multiple access interference from other users in the system (either in the same or different cells) and adjacent  
5 channel interference (ACI) such as interference from other WCDMA FDD and TDD carriers. External interference may also be caused by other communication systems operating in the same frequency band or other frequency bands. For example, systems such as the GSM and PHS may interfere with a WCDMA based  
10 system. Interference may also be caused by non-linearities in the transmitters and by other non-ideal effects.

Figure 1 shows two different types of interference influencing the transmission, that is external interference (EI) and  
15 multiple access interference (MAI). Although not shown in Figure 1, other types of interference may also be present. For example, if an operator licenses a band in which several frequency division duplex (FDD) and/or time division duplex (TDD) WCDMA carriers are located (e.g. to realise hierarchical  
20 cell structures), adjacent channel interference (ACI) between the carriers may also cause problems.

For example, in the WCDMA uplink (UL) band, external interference (EI) from e.g. a co-sited base station of another  
25 cellular communication system (such as a GSM system) can significantly reduce the cell coverage. In the worst case the external interference may shrink the cell coverage so much that all or almost all users in the cell lose their uplink connection. In the downlink (DL) band the external  
30 interference may also reduce the cell coverage for some mobile stations and may block some of the mobile station receivers. The problem is believed to be somewhat less severe in the downlink than in the uplink since the mobile stations are in

most occasions dispersed in the cell area and it is thus that likely that the receivers of all mobile stations would be blocked. Nevertheless, some mobile stations may still become blocked.

5 By using multiple antennae it is possible to combine the signals and utilise spatial and polarisation diversity. In addition, the received signals in different frequencies may also be combined at the receiver. This can be employed in  
10 order to reject at least some of the interference. If a receiver is employed with antenna diversity, it is possible to combine the signals in different ways. One such technique, interference rejection combining (IRC), aims at combining the antenna signals in such a way that at least a part of the  
15 interference becomes rejected. This is facilitated by using the spatial colour, i.e. the correlation between interference received by different diversity branches.

The data can be transmitted over a wireless interface as data  
20 symbols. The receiving antenna and multipath combining procedure need to be arranged such that the transmitted symbols can be detected as correctly as possible. In addition to the detection algorithm, a baseband receiver may contain several other necessary algorithms for delay estimation,  
25 signal to interference ratio estimation and so on.

However, in an IRC receiver wherein the spatial colour of the interference is employed in rejection of the interference these algorithms must take said spatial colour of the  
30 interference and noise into account. In general, this requirement makes the design of the IRC receivers substantially complex. The IRC functionality is conventionally implemented after the despreading operations. This requires

that the IRC operations need to be implemented at several places, thus further increasing the complexity of the IRC receiver arrangement.

## 5 Summary of the Invention

Embodiments of the present invention aim to address one or several of the above problems.

- 10 According to one aspect of the present invention, there is provided an interference rejection method for use in a receiver, the method comprising: receiving a plurality of signals; determining spatial correlation of the received signals; filtering the signals by a whitening filter that is  
15 determined based on said determined spatial correlation; outputting spatially white signals from the filter; and inputting the whitened signals to a diversity receiver.

In more specific embodiments the diversity receiver may  
20 comprise a maximum ratio combining receiver. The step of determining the spatial correlation may comprise sampling of the signals. The whitening filter may be updated in predefined intervals. The update may be performed after each received sample. The samples may also be buffered.

- 25 The filtering may be based on matrix times vector multiplication. Signals received by different antennae at a given time may be collected into a vector. The diversity receiver may assume that any noise and interference is  
30 uncorrelated between different antennae.

A signal may be sampled at a rate which is twice the chiprate of the signal.

Digital beamforming may be used at the reception of the signals. Information regarding the direction of arrival of the signals may be utilised.

5

According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided a receiver comprising: means for determining spatial correlation of a plurality of received signals; filter means adapted to filter the signals with a whitening filter that has  
10 been determined based on said spatial correlation; and a diversity receiver means located such that spatially white signals from the filter means are input in the diversity receiver means.

15 The filter means may be implemented by means of a modular entity at the front end of a maximum ratio combining receiver. The interference rejection combining may be accomplished at its entirety at one functional entity of the receiver.

20 According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided a station of a cellular communication system, comprising: antenna means; means for determining spatial correlation of a plurality of received signals; filter means adapted to filter the signals with a whitening filter that has  
25 been determined based on said spatial correlation; and a diversity receiver means located such that spatially white signals from the filter means are input in the diversity receiver means.

30 The embodiments of the invention provides several advantages. When compared to standard maximum ratio combining (MRC) technique, pre-whitening filtering may be employed to implement an interference rejection combining procedure that

can be used to mitigate the effects of spatially coloured interference. By means of the interference rejection the capacity and coverage of the communication system may be improved. By rejecting at least a portion of the external  
5 interference it may become possible to maintain the cell coverage and capacity also in the presence of a substantial amount of external interference. The embodiments may also be useful in increasing cell capacity in the uplink if the multiple access interference (MAI) is spatially coloured.

10

In addition, implementation of the interference rejection procedures at the front-end of the receiver arrangement may enable centralisation of the interference rejection function into a single block or module instead of integrating a  
15 interference rejection function at all those places where diversity combining is accomplished, e.g. in rake combining, signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) estimation, rake allocation stages and so on. Thus, instead of changing all baseband algorithms so that they can handle spatially coloured  
20 interference, a single pre-filter may be used instead.

Prefiltering of the received signals so that they become spatially white may enable centralisation of all interference rejection functionality to a single block leaving all the  
25 other baseband intact. Thus invention may simplify the structure of a receiver. Some embodiments may require a sampling rate which is typically twice the chiprate and processing in terms of a matrix vector multiplication at the sampling rate. This may be advantageous with regard to the  
30 performance gains and the small impact on other parts (baseband algorithms and functions) in a receiver.

Brief Description of Drawings



For better understanding of the present invention, reference will now be made by way of example to the accompanying drawings in which:

5        Figure 1 shows a block diagram illustrating an embodiment of the present invention;

      Figure 2 shows a possible receiver arrangement comprising a pre-filter and a diversity receiver; and

10       Figure 3 is a flowchart illustrating the operation of one embodiment of the present invention.

#### Description of Preferred Embodiments of the Invention

Reference is made to Figure 1 which shows a receiver  
15       arrangement 10 employing interference rejection combining (IRC) in accordance with the present invention. The receiver arrangement may be for use in a base station of a cellular communication system, for example for a base station to be used in a wideband code division multiple access (WCDMA)  
20       system.

The receiver is shown to be provided with multiple diversity antenna means. More particularly, the receiver 10 is provided with two reception antennae 3 and 4 for receiving multi-  
25       carrier radio frequency signals transmitted by antenna means 2 of a transmitting user equipment (UE) 1. The number of antennae is preferably more than two.

The embodiment is based on the concept of implementing the  
30       interference rejection capability by means of a pre-filter entity 6. The pre-filter entity 6 can be a modular unit that is located before a standard maximum ratio combining (MRC) receiver entity 7 on the signal path of the receiver

arrangement. The entire interference rejection combining (IRC) function may be placed in this single functional entity. The IRC function may be implemented as a modular unit. If the interference rejection function is implemented after the despread-  
5 ing, as is the case in the prior art, then the interference rejection function needs to be implemented at several places, thus making it difficult if not impossible to provide a modular IRC arrangement.

10 The pre-filter 6 is adapted to measure the spatial correlation of the received signals and to subsequently determine a whitening filter which is to be used for filtering of the signals. The whitening filter is selected so that the signals of different branches are spatially white after the filtering  
15 operations. This means that the signals are uncorrelated and have the same power. The filtering can be based on matrix times vector multiplication.

The signals received by the different antennae are sampled at  
20 the same time and collected into a vector. A linear transformation of each such vector can then be done to accomplish the whitening function. The linear transformation corresponds a matrix vector multiplication. A alternative possibility is to solve a linear system of equations in order  
25 to obtain the output vector. In such operation the number of input signals to the whitening filter is the same as the number of output signals from the whitening filter.

After the whitening filter stage 6 the whitened signals may be  
30 fed to the standard diversity receiver 7. The receiver 7 may be adapted to accomplish maximum ratio combining (MRC) of the signal branches for the purposes of per se known reception operations such as rake combining, rake allocation, SIR

estimation and channel estimation. An example of such receiver is shown by Figure 2.

The diversity receiver may be adapted to assume that any noise  
5 and interference is uncorrelated between the antennas. Use of  
this assumption is advantageous since it enables use of  
substantially simple baseband algorithms for the rake  
combining, signal to interference ratio (SIR) estimation, rake  
allocation and so on. This procedure is also depicted in the  
10 block chart of Figure 1.

In Figure 1 the filtering is accomplished by the first  
function block 6 of the receiver arrangement 10. The proposed  
filtering method is preferably used in front of a standard  
15 maximum ratio combining (MRC) receiver. In accordance with the  
preferred embodiment the interference rejection functionality  
is centralised in a single block 6 instead of implementing the  
rejection capabilities at all places in which the signals from  
different diversity branches are combined.

20 The rejection is thus done at the front-end of the receiver,  
that is before the actual receiver operations. This type of  
implementation simplifies the receiver structure since the  
interference rejection capabilities need not to be implemented  
25 separately in the various units of the receiver, such as in  
the delay estimation, Rake allocation, SIR-estimation and Rake  
finger processing modules. The IRC may be implemented with a  
linear transformation of the signal before passing it to a  
simple receiver that assumes that the noise is spatially  
30 white.

The following will discuss a possible implementation of the  
spatial whitening filter that employs a technique known as a

Cholesky factorisation. More particularly, the following will describe a pre-whitening IRC (W-IRC) concept. When compared to a standard maximum rate combining (MRC) rake receiver, a difference is that the received wideband signal after pulse 1 shape filtering is filtered at the front-end of the receiver with a memory-less spatial filter before any processing takes place. Thus the W-IRC receiver of the embodiment consists of an MRC rake receiver with a "whitening front-end". An exemplifying implementation of the filter is outlined in more detail later in this description.

A wideband covariance matrix is denoted as  $R_{wb}(t)$  in the following. The matrix can be defined as

$$R_{wb}(t) = E\langle v(t)v^*(t) \rangle \quad (1)$$

wherein  $*$  denotes conjugate transpose,  $E\langle \cdot \rangle$  is the expected value and the vector  $v(t)$  holds the signals received by the all diversity branches. To be more specific,  $v(t)$  is a column vector in which the  $k$ th row holds the signal of the  $k$ th diversity branch sampled at time  $t$ .

The transformation matrix  $W$  may be chosen so that it satisfies

$$W(t)W^*(t) = R_{wb}^{-1}(t) \quad (2)$$

The output from the whitening filter,  $v_w(t)$ , is then given by

$$v_w(t) = W^*v(t) \quad (3)$$

Note that by combining equations (2) and (3), the output after the whitening filter is spatially white

$$E\langle v_w(t) v_w^*(t) \rangle = E\langle W^* v(t) v^*(t) W \rangle = I \quad (4)$$

5 wherein  $I$  is the identity matrix.

One possible solution for the whitening filter is to use a positive definite symmetric square root of the inverse wideband covariance matrix, which may be calculated from a  
10 singular value decomposition of the covariance matrix. This would require an implementation of a singular value decomposition and would result in a full  $m \times m$  whitening filter. However, instead of this a Cholesky decomposition of the covariance matrix is preferably used.

15

The Cholesky decomposition of  $R_{wb}(t)$  may be written as

$$R_{wb} = U^* U \quad (5)$$

where  $U$  is an upper triangular matrix.

20

If the filter  $W$  is chosen as the inverse of the Cholesky factor, i.e.

$$UW = I_m \quad (6)$$

25 where  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of size  $m$ , then it follows that the above equation (2) is satisfied. Note that the whitening filter determined in equation (6) results in an upper triangular matrix.

30 The following considers in more detail an example of a possible implementation a whitening filter. In the example the

incoming signals are sampled by sampling means. Various possibilities to sample signals and means for the sampling are known. Therefore the sampling means are not shown in Figure 1 for clarity.

5

The wideband covariance matrix can be updated at any rate in order to follow variations of the statistics of the received signals.

- 10 To construct a whitening filter, a sample covariance of  $N_{WRC}$  consecutive samples can be formed

$$\hat{R}_{wb}[n] = \frac{1}{N_{WRC}} \sum_{k=n-N_{WRC}+1}^{n-1} v(nT_s) v^*(kT_s) \quad (7)$$

- 15 where  $v(t)$  is the signal after receive filtering and  $T_s$  is the sampling period. The sampling period may be e.g. half of the chip period.

A Cholesky decomposition of the sample covariance may be written as

20

$$\hat{R}_{wb}[n] = U^*[n] U[n] \quad (8)$$

where  $U[n]$  is an upper triangular matrix.

- 25 An interference detector (I-detector) is applied to the sample covariance matrix in order to find whether a diagonal matrix or a full matrix is the "best" model.

The whitening filter can then be determined by solving

30

$$U[n] W[n] = I_m \quad (9)$$

If  $v(kT_s)$  are the samples of the received signal before whitening, and  $v_w(kT_s)$  is the signal after whitening, then they are related by

$$v_w(nT_s) = W^* \left[ N_{WIRC} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{N_{WIRC}} \right\rfloor - N_{PD} \right] v(nT_s) \quad (10)$$

Here,  $N_{PD}$  is the processing delay, and term in  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer less than  $x$ . Note that a new Cholesky factorisation and a new whitening filter are calculated once for each block of  $N_{WIRC}$  received samples.

The processing delay may be assumed to be zero. A processing delay of zero means that after  $N_{WIRC}$  samples have been received, the sample covariance of these samples are used to construct a whitening filter which is used on the very next sample. A negative processing delay with  $N_{PD} = -N_{WIRC}$  means that  $N_{WIRC}$  samples are stored in a buffer. The buffer may comprise any appropriate means for storing the samples. Since these are known, the buffer is not shown in Figure 1 for clarity. The buffer may be provided in the filter block or elsewhere in the receiver. The whitening filter is calculated from the buffered samples, and then applied to the very same buffer before the samples are released.

The above example can be summarised as follows: Do a cholesky of  $R_{wb} = U^*U$ , then solve for an inverse of  $U$  (i.e.  $W = \text{inv}(U)$ ) which is the whitening filter, whereafter the output for each sample is given by  $v_w = W^*v$ .

Another possible algorithm could be: Determine an inverse of  $R_{wb}$  (i.e. calculate  $\text{inv}(R_{wb})$ ), do a cholesky of the  $\text{inv}(R_{wb}) = W \cdot W^*$ , whereafter the output for each sample is given by  $v_w = W \cdot v$ .

5

Another alternative would be to not calculate a whitening filter at all but to proceed such that as a cholesky of the  $R_{wb} = U \cdot U^*$  is calculated first whereafter the system  $U \cdot v_w = v$  is solved for each sample by backward substitution.

10

It is possible to update the whitening filter after each received sample. This may be accomplished e.g. by using an appropriate square-root algorithm or the so called rank one updates of the cholesky factorisation and the whitening filter.

15

To be able to handle pulsed interference and/or rapidly time-varying interference, the received samples may need to be buffered so that the samples to which the whitening filter is applied to are the same as those based on which the filter is calculated. This is illustrated by the following example.

20

If an averaging length of 100 samples is used, while receiving the first 100 samples (numbered 1 to 100), it is possible to form the required sample covariance matrix. After reception of the 100<sup>th</sup> sample, the whitening filter can be calculated. The calculated whitening filter is then applied to the samples numbered 1 to 100. The whitening filter is not applied to samples with number 612 to 712 as these would correspond to a processing delay of 512 samples.

30

An averaging length of 256 chips is considered to be enough in normal occasions for the update rates. This means that the



whitening filter is updated ten times per a slot. However, this rate may be changed to any other appropriate rate, such as five times per slot. The buffering may cause some delay to the closed loop power control. However, in the presence of  
5 interference, the performance degradation caused by this must be compared to the gains obtained in interference rejection.

It shall be appreciated that whilst embodiments of the present invention have been described in relation to diversity  
10 antennae where fading between antennas is uncorrelated, embodiments of the present invention may also be applicable to other type of receivers. For example, without limiting to these, the proposed solution may be applied as such also for beamforming in macro cells with  $\lambda/2$  spaced antennas, that  
15 is for a digital beamforming approach for rake receivers. In such as case the angular spread is small and correlation between antennas is high. The whitening filter makes no assumptions on the fading correlation, and it can be anything between zero and one. Thus the invention may be applied for  
20 antennae separated half a wave lengths and small angular spread (e.g. macro cells), and also for polarisation diversity.

A concept employing digital beamforming may assume that the  
25 fading is highly correlated between the antennas. Thus it is possible to parameterise the channels based on the direction of arrival of the signals in the channel estimation/rake allocation. The signals may be transformed with  $\text{inv}(\mathbf{R}_{\text{hat}})$  instead of with  $\text{sqrtm}(\text{inv}(\mathbf{R}_{\text{hat}}))$  since the steering vectors  
30 may also need to be whitened in the same way as the data signals are whitened. This may simplify further the implementation since no factorisation (such as a cholesky decomposition) is needed. Instead, the whitening filter may then simply be the

inverse of the received signal covariance, and the resulting beamformer will be  $w = \text{inv}(\hat{R})a(\theta)$ . Thus the invention is believed to be applicable to all kinds of environments independent on whether direction of arrival information is used or not. A digital beamformer as such as known and is thus not shown in Figure 1 for clarity.

The pre-whitening unit may be made a part of the antenna means. In such a case the reference point for the measurements is preferably the antenna connector.

The modular pre-whitening unit may also be retrofitted to existing base stations, which may have previously been using baseband receiver algorithms based on spatially, white interference and noise assumption. This may be enabled by application of the pre-whitening unit as a part of the antenna means. The possibility of retrofitting is useful if e.g. interference from a co-sited GSM system turns out to be a severe problem after installation of a WCDMA base station.

It shall be appreciated that there are several ways to implement a whitening filter. What is important is that a separate whitening front-end is hooked up with a receiver.

It shall also be appreciated that whilst embodiments of the present invention have been described in relation to stations of a mobile communication systems, embodiments of the present invention are applicable to any other suitable type of stations. The invention is rather intended for any receivers with diversity and interference rejection combining (IRC). The above described embodiments are believed to be especially applicable to base stations with receive with diversity (spatial diversity and/or polarisation diversity) and also to

mobile terminals with receive diversity. However, any other possible applications are not excluded.

5 The embodiment of the present invention has been described in the context of a CDMA system. This invention is also applicable to any other access techniques including frequency division multiple access (FDMA), time division multiple access (TDMA) or space division multiple access (SDMA) as well as any hybrids thereof.

10 It shall also be appreciated that the inventive concept is not limited for use in handling of external interference only but can be used to reject any kind of interference. The studies by the inventors associated the external interference caused by  
15 GSM (Global System for Mobile communication) base stations and adjacent channel interference from other WCDMA carriers have indicated that the interference levels caused to the CDMA system can be significant and thus any rejection of this interference is advantageous. There is no reason to believe  
20 that the proposed solution could not provide similar advantages when applied to other systems and other type of interference.

It is also noted herein that while the above describes  
25 exemplifying embodiments of the invention, there are several variations and modifications which may be made to the disclosed solution without departing from the scope of the present invention as defined in the appended claims.

## Claims

1. An interference rejection method for use in a receiver comprising:
  - 5 receiving a plurality of signals;
  - determining spatial correlation of the received signals;
  - filtering the signals by a whitening filter that is determined based on said determined spatial correlation;
  - outputting spatially white signals from the filter; and
  - 10 inputting the whitened signals to a diversity receiver.
2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the diversity receiver comprises a maximum ratio combining receiver.
- 15 3. A method as claimed in claim 1 or 2, comprising use of Cholesky factorisation.
4. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, comprising use of an inverse of a Cholesky factor..
- 20 5. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, comprising use of a Cholesky decomposition.
6. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the
- 25 step of determining the spatial correlation comprises sampling the signals.
7. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the whitening filter is updated in predefined intervals.
- 30 8. A method as claimed in claim 6 and 7, wherein the update is performed after each received sample.

9. A method as claimed in any of claims 6 to 8, comprising buffering of samples.
10. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the  
5 filtering is based on matrix times vector multiplication.
11. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein signals received by different antennae at a given time are collected into a vector.
- 10 12. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the diversity receiver assumes that any noise and interference is uncorrelated between different antennae.
- 15 13. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein a signal is sampled at a rate which is twice the chiprate of the signal.
14. A method as claimed in claim 13, wherein a matrix vector  
20 multiplication is processed at the sampling rate.
15. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the signals are received by at least two antennae.
- 25 16. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein digital beamforming is used for the reception of signals.
17. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, comprising use of information regarding the direction of arrival of the  
30 signals.
18. A receiver comprising:

means for determining spatial correlation of a plurality of received signals;

filter means adapted to filter the signals with a whitening filter that has been determined based on said  
5 spatial correlation; and

a diversity receiver means located such that spatially white signals from the filter means are input in the diversity receiver means.

10 19. A receiver as claimed in claim 18, wherein the filter means are implemented by means of a modular entity at the front end of a maximum ratio combining receiver.

15 20. A receiver as claimed in claim 18 or 19, wherein all interference rejection combining operations are adapted to be accomplished at one functional entity.

21. A receiver as claimed in any of claims 18 to 20, comprising sampling means for sampling the signals.

20

22. A receiver as claimed in any of claims 18 to 21, wherein the filter means is adapted to be updated in predefined intervals.

25 23. A receiver as claimed in claim 21 or 22, comprising sample buffering means.

24. A receiver as claimed in any of claims 18 to 23, comprising at least two reception antennae.

30

25. A receiver as claimed in any of claims 18 to 24, comprising a digital beamformer.

26. A receiver as claimed in any of claims 18 to 25 adapted to use information regarding the direction of arrival of the signals in rejection of interference.

5 27. A station of a cellular communication system, comprising:  
antenna means;

means for determining spatial correlation of a plurality of received signals;

10 filter means adapted to filter the signals with a whitening filter that has been determined based on said spatial correlation; and

a diversity receiver means located such that spatially white signals from the filter means are input in the diversity receiver means.

15

28. A station as claimed in claim 27, wherein the station employs code division multiple access in communication with another station.

20 29. A station as claimed in claim 27 or 28, wherein the diversity receiver means comprise a maximum ratio combining receiver.

25 30. A station as claimed in any of claims 27 to 29, comprising sampling means for sampling the signals.

30

31. A station as claimed in any of claims 27 to 30, wherein the filter means is adapted to be updated in predefined intervals.

32. A station as claimed in claim 30 or 31, comprising sample buffering means.

33. A station as claimed in any of claims 27 to 32,  
comprising at least two reception antennae means.

34. A station as claimed in any of claims 27 to 33 adapted to  
5 reject interference based on information regarding the  
direction of arrival of the signals.

35. A station as claimed in any of claims 27 to 34,  
comprising a base station.

10

36. A station as claimed in any of claims 27 to 34,  
comprising a mobile station.






Fig. 1

2/2




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 02/00814

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC7: H04B 1/707

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC7: H04B, H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-INTERNAL, WPI DATA, PAJ, INSPEC

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	Iltis, R.A. et al "A DS spread spectrum RAKE recived with narrowband interference rejection capability: operation in fading channels" Military Communications Conference, 1989 1989 IEEE Pages 704 - 708 vol. 3 see section 1, 1.3 --	1-36
A	HAIMOVICH, A.M. et al.:The Performance of Space- Time Processing for Suppressing Narrowband Interference in CDMA Communications. Wireless Personal Communications 7: 233-255, 1998. (c) 1998 Kluwer Academic Publishers. See section 2 --	1-36

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☒ See patent family annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&amp;" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

7 August 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

14-08-2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA/  
 Swedish Patent Office  
 Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM  
 Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Fredrik Blomqvist/lr  
 Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 02/00814

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>Iltis, R.A. et al "Interference rejection in FFH systems using least-squares estimation techniques" Military Communications Conference, 1988 1988 IEEE Pages 631 - 635 vol. 2 see section 1, 2.2</p> <p>--</p>	1-36
A	<p>WO 0036765 A1 (NOKIA NETWORKS OY), 22 June 2000 (22.06.00), page 12, line 19 - page 16, line 12, figure 2</p> <p>--</p>	1-36
Y	<p>US 6091361 A (DENNIS W. DAVIS ET AL), 18 July 2000 (18.07.00), column 5, line 53 - line 58; column 15, line 54 - column 16, line 21</p> <p>-- -----</p>	1-36

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**  
Information on patent family members

06/07/02

International application No.

PCT/IB 02/00814

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
WO	0036765	A1	22/06/00	AU	2273099	A	03/07/00	
				EP	1142161	A	10/10/01	
				US	2002034270	A	21/03/02	
-----								
US	6091361	A	18/07/00	NONE				
-----								